1	TERESA C. CHOW (SBN 237694)		
2	tchow@bakerlaw.com BAKER & HOSTETLER LLP		
3	11601 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 1400 Los Angeles, CA 90025-0509		
4	Los Angeles, CA 90025-0509 Telephone: 310.820.8800 Facsimile: 310.820.8859		
5	Attorneys for Defendants		
6	LEARFIELD COMMUNICATIONS, LLC and SIDEARM SPORTS, LLC		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
8	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
9	EMILY HEERDE and MARK HAINES, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	Case No.: 2:23-c	v-04493-FLA-MAAx
11	Plaintiffs,	NOTICE OF MOTION AND DEFENDANTS LEARFIELD	
12	V.	COMMUNICAT SIDEARM SPO	FIONS, LLC'S AND RTS, LLC'S RULE
13	LEARFIELD COMMUNICATIONS,	12(B)(6) AND 12 DISMISS PLAI	TIONS, LLC'S AND RTS, LLC'S RULE 2(B)(7) MOTION TO NTIFFS'
14	LLC, SIDEARM SPORTS, LLC, and UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN	COMPLAINT	
15	CALIFORNIA,	of Points and Aut	tly with Memorandum horities; Fed. R. Civ.
16	Defendants.	P. 5.1 Notice of Constitutional Question; and (Proposed) Order]	
17		DATE:	October 20, 2023
18		TIME: CTRM:	1:30 p.m. 6B
19		CTIUVI.	OB
20		Case Filed:	06/08/2023
21			
22	TO THE HONORABLE COURT, AND TO PLAINTIFFS, AND THEIR		
23	COUNSEL OF RECORD HEREIN:		
24	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT on October 20, 2023, at 1:30 p.m., or as		
25	soon thereafter as counsel may be heard, in Courtroom 6B of the United States		
26	District Court, Central District of California, Western Division, located at 350 W. 1st		
27	Street, Los Angeles, California, 90012, Defendants Learfield Communications LLC		
28	1		
	DEFENDANTS' NOTICE OF MOTION AND 12(B)(6) AND 12(B)(7) MOTION TO DISMISS PLAINTIFFS' COMPLAINT CASE NO.: 2:23-CV-04493-FLA-MAAX		

1 2

and Sidearm Sports, LLC ("Defendants") will and hereby do move this Court for an order dismissing Plaintiffs' Complaint with prejudice.

This Motion is made pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6) for Plaintiffs' failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted. Specifically, Plaintiffs fail to allege essential elements of a claim under the Video Privacy Protection Act ("VPPA"), 18 U.S.C. § 2710, including failing to allege that Defendants are "video tape service providers," that Plaintiffs are "consumers" protected by the VPPA, that Defendants disclosed "personally identifiable information," or did so knowingly. Further, the VPPA is an unconstitutional restraint on speech in violation of the First Amendment of the U.S. Constitution, both on its face and as applied to Defendants.

Plaintiffs further fail to state a claim for violation of California's invasion of privacy act, Cal. Penal Code § 631 or 635, or common law invasion of privacy because Plaintiffs do not have a reasonable expectation of privacy in their web browsing data, amongst several other reasons. Plaintiffs also fail to state a claim for violation of the federal Wiretap Act, 18 U.S.C. § 2510 because Plaintiffs consented to the disclosure and the contents of the communications were not disclosed.

This Motion is further made pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(7) for failure to join USC, an indispensable party.

Defendants' Motion is based on this Notice of Motion, the supporting Memorandum of Points and Authorities, the pleadings and papers on file in this action, and upon such further argument and evidence as may be presented prior to or at the hearing of this Motion. This Motion is made following the conference of counsel pursuant to L.R. 7-3 which took place on August 29, 2023.

DEFENDANTS' NOTICE OF MOTION AND 12(B)(6) AND 12(B)(7) MOTION TO DISMISS PLAINTIFFS' COMPLAINT CASE NO.: 2:23-CV-04493-FLA-MAAX